

# PRENATAL DIAGNOSIS AND NEONATAL SCREENING

Alexander Gheldof

## PRENATAL DIAGNOSIS – GENE TESTING





#### PRENATAL TESTING FOR MONOGENIC DISEASE – WHEN?

#### In case of familial history:

- Other child(ren) of couple is/are affected
- Family member(s) is/are affected
  - Important note: in case one partner of pregnant couple is a carrier => carriership test of other partner instead of PND
  - Only PND if partner was found to be carrier as well
- One of the partners of the pregnant couple is affected

#### In case of echographic abnormalities suggestive for monogenic disease

- For example:
- L1CAM (X-linked):
  - Hydrocephalus
  - Agenesis of corpus callosum
  - Macrocephaly
  - Corticospinal tract hypoplasia
- Achondroplasia (FGFR3, dominant) *de novo* due to NM\_000142.5(FGFR3):c.1138G>A, p.(Gly380Arg)
  - Shortened long bones
  - Paternal age effect





## AMNIOCENTESIS (AC) – CVS - CHORDOCENTESIS



- AC: 14-16 weeks of pregnancy
- Shed fetal cells originate from different sources:

Amniotic membranes, fetal respiratory system, skin, gastrointestinal and urinary tracts

Totally differentiated, lineage commited, pluripotent, highly multipotent stem cells (Seyed et al. 2020)







#### CVS: 11-12 weeks pregnancy

Chorion villi cells: blood vessels and connective tissue from trophoblast and mesoderm (Larsen et al 2001)

#### Caveat: placental mosaïcism (see later)

#### AMNIOCENTESIS – DNA ANALYSIS

#### Direct analysis:

- After sampling: Centrifugation of one part of sample => DNA extraction => Testing
- Important to note: limited DNA quantity!
- In the past: complete Sanger sequencing of large genes was often compromised (eg L1CAM: 29 exons)
- Present with NGS: 1µg of high quality DNA is necessary and is most often available in the direct analysis

#### Analysis on cultured cells:

- After sampling: Centrifugation of other part => Cells grown in culture => DNA extracted after sufficient proliferation
- DNA most often obtained after 2-3 weeks in culture
- Why is this done?
  - As a backup in case direct analysis fails
  - Pool of available cells in case of meternal cell contamination (see later)



#### Direct analysis:

- After sampling: Manual mechanical dissection of CVS tissue => DNA extraction (longer protocol than direct AC) => testing
- Important to note: DNA quantity is larger than direct AC

#### Analysis on cultured cells:

- After sampling: Manual processing of CVS tissue (mechanical/enzymatic overnight dissection of sample
  Cells grown in culture => DNA extracted after sufficient proliferation
- DNA most often obtained after 2-3 weeks in culture

Jniversita

Ziekenhui

- Why is this done?
  - As a backup in case direct analysis fails
  - Pool of available cells in case of meternal cell contamination (see later)





## CVS TESTING – PLACENTAL MOSAÏCISM



Different outcomes of placental mosaicism

CVS result may not always reflect the true fetal status

For example: in 1-2% of pregnancies, discrepancies between karyotypes in trophoblast and fetus have been found

In AC samples: this discrepancy is highly unlikely

In case of non conforming results in CVS hinting at placental mosaïcism:

- Analysis can be redone on second part of the CVS sample
- Not always possible
- AC can be done after CVS
- Chordocentesis can be done after AC





We need to be sure we are examining fetal and not maternal DNA.

Distinction can be made by performing a maternal cell contamination test:

- A blood sample of the mother is compared to the AC or CVS sample
- Test is based on an STR marker analysis.
- STR: Short Tandem Repeat
- Intermezzo:
  - Repeat sequences make up 25-50% of mamalian genomes
  - Tandem repeats: short (CTGA)<sub>n</sub>, long (CTGAG......CAAGGG)<sub>n</sub> called minisatellites
  - Interspersed repeats: CTAGGGAAAAGGGGGG-large genomic distance-CTAGGGAAAAGGGGG
    - Special case: retrotransposons => insertions of inactive viruses => can shift position
- STR: tri-pentanucleotides repeats with highly variable length across individuals
- Maternal cell contamination test: multiple STRs are tested, number can vary depending on kit (27 @ UZBrussel)





#### Example of STR test:



Arrows: maternal allele

Maternal blood sample:

Fetal sample:





Ziekenhuis Brussel

Informative STR markers: Markers where one can make a distinction between contamination or no contamination

Informative, because.... in case of contamination second maternal marker would pop up in fetus



15-5-2024 | 10

Universitair

Ziekenhuis Brussel

**IVERSITEIT** 

**Non-Informative STR markers:** Markers where one cannot make a distinction between contamination or no contamination



#### Example of sample with +/- 7% contamination







- Low amounts of cell contamination are tolerated relatively well
- Sanger sequencing is robust enough to allow interpretation when contamination is below 10-15%
- Why?



- Sanger sequencing often presents with a backround in the range of 10-15% in relation to the peak signals
- Maternal cell contamination of 10-15% will thus be in the background of the test
- Nevertheless: Maternal cell contamination should be avoided as it is most often > 15%!
- Notable exceptions are FragileX (not detected with Sanger) and IKBKG (Incontinentia Pigmenti) testing
- These tests are highly sensitive to contamination





Not all tests are forgiving for small maternal contamination

- Notable exception with Sanger sequencing is IKBKG (Incontinentia Pigmenti)
  - IKBKG => Dominant inheritance (X-linked dominant lethal for XY embryo's)
  - In > 80% of cases caused by a known 10 kb deletion
  - In other 20%: SNV's
  - However, *IKBKG* has a pseudogene *IKBKGP1*



- As a consequence, 4 identical copies are present in women
- Presence of a heterozygous (pathogenic) variant in *IKBKG* will emerge in a peak with a max height of 25% in in comparison to peak of other alleles

Maubach et al 2017



#### NM\_003639.4(IKBKG):c.1167dupC, p.Glu390Argfs\*5



- 25% of peak height is close to background and can easily be missed in case of maternal contamination
- How to solve?
  - Long range PCR? Yes
  - NGS?
    - Short reads? Possible because less background. However, pipeline has to be adapted!
    - Long reads? Best solution because it is possible to discern whether the variant is located in coding or pseudogene





## INTERMEZZO – GENE CONVERSION

Variant present in father in IKBKGP pseudogene

Daughter of couple is affected

Reason?

Due to homologous recombination in the gametes of father, the variant has been "transferred" to the coding gene *IKBKG* 

Daughter thus has the pathogenic variant in both the coding and the pseudogene

Gene conversion is an event which can take place in case of pseudogene presence

For example *GBA* (Gaucher disease), *IDS* (Hunter disease).



Fusco et al., 2012











- CG rich sequences are notoriously difficult to amplify
- PCR with flanking primers is unable to amplify large repeat expansions
- How is this solved?
  - TP-PCR => Triplet Repeat PCR



- Ladder amplification
- Despite TP-PCR: large difference in sequencing amplification efficacy between short and long repeat lengths



• Fragile X: Large difference in amplification efficiency between normal and disease allele



• Due to TP-PCR: sensitive amplification of large repeats

• Implications: In case of an affected child: premutation of mother can be preferentially amplified instead of full mutation of fetus



- The X-chromosome harbours +/- 1100 genes
- In both women (XX) and men (XY), gene dosage of X-linked transcripts is (should be) equal
- Solved by stochastic transcriptional inactivation of a single X-chromosome in each female cell (a process called by Lyonisation, X-inactivation or Xin)

Mechanism:

- Xist plays a central role: X-inactive specific transcript
- Xist: non coding RNA
- However, it is still unknown how randomnes is achieved



- Xist plays a central role: X-inactive specific transcript
- *Cis* regulatory mRNA, resulting in chromatin compaction (through DNA methylation and histone deacetylation)







Xin is random

However, in humans, randomnes is only achieved after the blastocyst stage

All descendants of Xin cells maintain The same inactivation of paternal or maternal X-chromosome)

Different mechanism of transcript levelling in early embryonic stages (from EGA – late blastocyst stage) Dampening is proposed





Xin and disease:

- Sometimes Xin does not occur stochastically
- The 1:1 ratio between paternal/maternal Xin is not maintained
- One particular X chromosome is more active than the other
- This is called X-skewing
- Spectrum: full skewing light skewing
- For X-linked recessive diseases:
  - Heterozygous women (eg in Fabry disease GLA gene) can be non affected
  - In case of random skewing
  - Or moderatley-severely affected in case of complete skewing where
  - only the chromosome with the pathogenic GLA allele is active







- Detection of Xin:
  - CpG island in exon 1 sensitive to methylation in the AR gene (androgen receptor situated on the X-chromosome)
  - In combination with a downstream CAG repeat polymorphism (CAG)n to differentiate between the two alleles
  - HpaII restriction enzyme: cuts unmethylated CpG islands, does not cut methylated CpG islands



- Step 1: DNA incubated with and without HpaII
- Step 2: PCR is performed
- Step 3: fragment analysis



• Detection of Xin: Example



1) HpaII digested DNA



21 CAG repeat peak is not cut => Methylated => Inactive

24 CAG repeat peak is cut => NOT Methylated => Active



2) PCR



- How can Xin be used in a prenatal setting?
  - Example:
    - A VUS (variant of unknown significance) has been found in a prenatal case where genotype and gene
    - in which the VUS was found correspond
    - VUS is maternally inherited
    - Mother does not display a phenotye
    - How to get a better interpretation of this VUS?
    - Xin testing in mother:
      - If not skewed:
        - Both X-alleles are expressed equally
        - Mother has no phenotype and the VUS is still expressed
        - VUS **likely not** the cause of the prenatal phenotype
      - In case skewed:
        - Only one X-allele is active
        - Better argumentation that VUS could indeed be involved in prenatal phenotype
        - Mother has no phenotype, so the allele with the VUS could be inactivated



- Currently, two conditions are genetically screened for in Belgium:
  - Mucoviscidosis (CFTR gene):
    - 1st tier: IRT (immunoreactive trypsinogen)
      - Neonates with CF have elevated levels of serum IRT
      - Tested for all neonates on dried blood spots
      - IRT testing has a high false positive rate
    - 2nd tier:
      - All IRT positives are genetically tested
      - 12 most prevalent pathogenic CFTR variants
      - Short Turn Around Time
      - Complete cycle of 1st and 2nd tier < 1 week



- Currently, two conditions are genetically screened for in Belgium:
  - Mucoviscidosis (CFTR gene):
    - 1st tier: IRT (immunoreactive trypsinogen)
      - Neonates with CF have elevated levels of serum IRT
      - Tested for all neonates on dried blood spots
      - IRT testing has a high false positive rate
    - 2nd tier:
      - All IRT positives are genetically tested
      - 12 most prevalent pathogenic CFTR variants
      - Short Turn Around Time
      - Complete cycle of 1st and 2nd tier < 1 week



- Currently, two conditions are genetically screened for in Belgium:
  - SMA screening: spinal muscular atrophy
    - autosomal recessive muscular disorder (motor neurons)
    - highly heterogeneous phenotype: 1 4 types SMN1 gene
    - on chromosome 5q: 9 exons (1,2a, 2b, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8)
    - > 94 96% patients homozygous  $\Delta$ E7-8 ( $\Delta$ E7 pathogenic)
    - residual compound heterozygous  $\Delta$ E7-8 / variant of 2 variants
    - carrier status strongly depends on ethnicity (1/30 1/125)
    - incidence 1/6000 10000
    - Walloon carrier status: 1/42



- Currently, two conditions are genetically screened for in Belgium:
  - SMA screening: spinal muscular atrophy

- progressive muscle weakness and atrophy
- degeneration of motor neurons often fatal during the first 2 years of life
- phenotype dependent on #SMN2 genes
- SMN1 => active gene SMN2 (pseudogeneous, very similar)
- only a few nt differences from SMN1: 1 causes splicing of exon 7
- most transcripts not functional







#### qPCR with Taqman probes







#### PCR amplification at the E7/E8 region:





#### PCR amplification at the E7/E8 region:





#### Final result:





# THANK YOU





Universitair Ziekenhuis Brussel